

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the Claims

Claim 12, has been amended as follows:

12. A stabilizer athletic shoe comprising a sole having a bottom portion, a forefoot having a top portion, a rearfoot portion, an edge and a heel portion, said forefoot portion having a medial part and a lateral part, an external lateral foot stabilizer attached to the edge of said sole, [and] a bottom forefoot wedge member attached to the bottom of said sole[.] , a medial heel wedge having a bottom portion wherein said heel wedge spans substantially the entire length, and about one-half the width of said heel, and a heel stabilizer extending from the bottom portion of said heel to the top of the rearfoot portion.

[Handwritten mark]

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the Claims

Claim 15, has been amended as follows:

15. A stabilizer athletic shoe as in claim [13] 12 wherein said external lateral foot stabilizer is from about $\frac{1}{8}$ to about $\frac{1}{4}$ inch thick, with the thickness increasing from said medial part to said lateral part of said forefoot portion.

In the Claims

Claim 17 has been amended as follows:

17. A stabilizer athletic shoe as in claim [13] 12 wherein said bottom forefoot wedge member and said lateral foot stabilizer partly overlap one another.

In the Claims

Claim 19 has been amended as follows:

19. A stabilizer athletic shoe as in claim ~~15~~ 13 wherein said bottom forefoot wedge member and said lateral foot stabilizer partly overlap one another.


REMARKS

Claims 13, 14, 16 and 18 have been cancelled, without prejudice. Claims 12, 15, 17 and 19 have been amended.

In the Office Action, claims 13, 15, 17 and 19 have been indicated to be allowable if amended to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Rather than amending claim 13 to include the limitations of claim 12, it is believed to be more practical to amend claim 12 to include all the limitations of claim 13 and to amend the dependency of claims 15, 17 and 19. It is believed that this amendment is in compliance with the requirements by the Examiner.

In view of the foregoing amendment, a favorable action is requested on claims 12, 15, 17 and 19, which are now all the claims in this application.

Respectfully submitted,


James W. Badie, Reg. No. 20,968
Attorney for Applicants
Stoll, Miskin, Hoffman & Badie
The Empire State Building
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6110
New York, New York 10118
Telephone: (212) 244-5632

